

**EFFECTS OF SECOND APPROXIMATION FOR FIGURES AND GRAVITATIONAL MOMENTS OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES IO AND EUROPA.** V. N. Zharkov, Schmidt Institute of Physics of the Earth, Russian Academy of sciences, 123495 Moscow, B.Gruzinskaya, 10, zharkov@ifz.ru

The gravitational moments ( $J_2$  and  $C_{22}$ ) of Io and Europa were first determined in [1, 2] as a result of the successful Galileo space mission. These data were refined in the review [3] and are given in Table 1 (the data are used as boundary condition). Two types of trial three-layer models have been constructed for the satellites Io and Europa. In the models of the first (type Io1 and E1), the cores are assumed to consist of the eutectic Fe-FeS melt with the densities  $\rho_1=5.15$  g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Io1) and 5.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (E1). In the models of the second type (Io3 and E3), the cores consist of FeS with an admixture of nickel and have the density  $\rho_1=4.6$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The approach used here differs from that used previously both in chosen model chemical composition of these satellites and in boundary conditions imposed on the models. The parameters of the models are collected in Table 2 and Table 3. The theory set out in [4] allows all three principal, nondimensional moments of inertia normalized to  $ms_1^2$  to be calculated for the constructed models (see Table 2 and Table 3). The most important question to be answered by modeling the internal structure of the Galilean satellites is that of the condensate composition at the formation epoch of Jupiter's system. In first approximation among the satellite's gravitational moments of the second degree and the second order, only  $J_2$  and  $C_{22}$  are nonzero, while  $C_{22} = S_{21} = S_{22} = 0$ .

$$J_2 = \frac{C - 1/2(A + B)}{ms_1^2} = \frac{5}{6} \alpha k_2 \quad (1)$$

$$C_{22} = \frac{B - A}{4ms_1^2} = \frac{1}{4} \alpha k_2, \quad C_{22} = \frac{3}{10} J_2 \quad (2)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{M}{m} \left( \frac{s_1}{R} \right)^3 = \frac{\omega^2 s_1^3}{Gm} \quad (3)$$

Here,  $\alpha$  is the small parameter of the figure theory,  $M$  and  $m$  are the masses of Jupiter and the satellite, respectively,  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $s_1$  is the mean radius of the satellite;  $C$  is the polar moment of inertia;  $A$  and  $B$  are the equatorial principal moments of inertia;  $k_2$  is the Love tidal number of the second degree; and  $R$  is the orbital radius. The number  $k_2$  or  $h_2=1+k_2$  that is used as the constraint in modeling the Galilean satellite can be determined from formula (2), which is derived

in theory to the terms of the first order in small parameter  $\alpha$  (3). In theory, we have the terms of the second order in  $\alpha$  [4]

$$C_{22} = \frac{1}{4} k_2 \alpha - \frac{16}{21} \left(1 - \frac{5}{16} h_2\right) h_2 \alpha^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{J_2}{C_{22}} = \frac{10}{3} \left[1 + \frac{16}{21} \frac{h_2}{k_2} (3 - h_2) \alpha\right] \quad (5)$$

The third spherical function  $W_3$  in the tidal potential is proportional to  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha(s_1/R)$ . For Io, the ratio  $s_1/R \approx 432 \times 10^{-5}$ . Consequently,  $\alpha_1$  is of the order of  $\alpha^2$ . If satellite is in state of hydrostatical equilibrium, then all odd gravitational moments

$$S_{3m} = 0, \quad (m=1, 2, 3) \quad (6)$$

and only

$$C_{31} = -\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 k_3 = -\frac{1}{4} \alpha_1 (h_3 - 1),$$

and

$$C_{33} = \frac{1}{24} \alpha_1 k_3 = \frac{1}{24} \alpha_1 (h_3 - 1) \quad (7)$$

**Table 1.** Observational data and model parameters for Io and Europa ( $a$  - orbital radius,  $\tau$  - period)

Parameter	Io, J1	Europa, J2
$a$ , 10 <sup>3</sup> km	421.6	670.9
$a/R_J$	6.0275	9.592
$\tau$ , days	1.769	3.551
$r_0$ , km	1821.6 ± 0.5	1565.0 ± 8.0
$m$ , 10 <sup>23</sup> g	893.19~893.2	480.0
$\rho_0$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	3.5275 ± 0.0029	2.989 ± 0.046
$q_0$ , cm s <sup>-2</sup>	179	131
$\alpha$ , 10 <sup>-5</sup>	171.37	50.19
$v_{2k}$ , km s <sup>-1</sup>	2.55	2.02
$J_2$ , 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1859.5 ± 2.7	435.5 ± 8.2
$C_{22}$ , 10 <sup>-6</sup>	558.8 ± 0.8	131.5 ± 2.5
$C/ms_1^2$	0.37824 ± 0.0002	0.346 ± 0.005
$Gm$ , km <sup>3</sup> c <sup>-2</sup>	2	3202.72 ± 0.0
$^2$	5959.91 ± 0.02	2
$k_2$	1.3043 ± 0.0019	1.048 ± 0.020

**Table 2.** Parameters of the three-layer models for Io

Parameter	Io1	Io3
$s_1$ , km	1821.6	1821.6
$\rho_0$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	3.5275	3.5275
Core density $\rho_1$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	5.15	4.6
Mantle density $\rho_2$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	3.35	3.32
Crust density $\rho_3$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	2.7	2.7
Core radius $s_c$ , km	903.16	1053.29
Mantle radius $s_m$ , km	1781.6	1781.6
$k_2$	1.3032	1.3053
Core mass $m_c$ , wt %	17.8	25.2
$\frac{A}{B}$	0.376877	0.377097
$\frac{B}{C}$	4	7
$\frac{C}{h_3}$	0.378366	0.378589
	7	0.379707
	0.379483	4
	6	1.5946
	1.59777	

**Table 3.** Parameters of the three-layer models for Europa

Parameter	E1	E3
$s_1$ , km	1565.0	1565.0
$\rho_0$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	2.989	2.989
Core density $\rho_1$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	5.2	4.6
Mantle density $\rho_2$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	3.31	3.30
Crust density $\rho_3$ , g cm <sup>3</sup>	1.05	1.05
Core radius $s_c$ , km	701.5	806.7
Mantle radius $s_m$ , km	1442.23	1442.23
$k_2$	1.043767	1.050487
Core mass $m_c$ , wt %	17	5
$\frac{A}{B}$	0.345767	22.82
$\frac{B}{C}$	7	0.346659
$\frac{C}{h_3}$	0.346146	2
	0.346407	0.347010
	6	7
		0.347274
		3

are nonzero

$$J_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{32} = 0 \quad (8)$$

In the first nonvanishing approximation of the theory of figure, we have relation (2) that was used to judge whether the Galilean satellites of Jupiter

have equilibrium figures. The same relation for the third spherical function is

$$C_{31} / C_{33} = -6 \quad (9)$$

If it turns out that relations (9) and (8) hold for Io, then this will imply that Io is in a more “detailed” hydrostatic equilibrium than can be judged from the fulfillment of relation (2) alone. Values of number  $h_3$  may be found in Table 2. For Europa, the considered effect is approximately a factor of 3 smaller, which roughly corresponds to a ratio of the small parameters for the satellites under consideration,  $\alpha_{Io} / \alpha_{Europa} \sim 3.4$ . Our theory allows the parameters of the figure ( $s_{mm}$ ) and the forth-order gravitational moments that differ from zero to be calculated [4]. For the homogeneous model, their values are:

$$s_4 = \frac{885}{224} \alpha^2, \quad s_{42} = -\frac{75}{224} \alpha^2,$$

$$s_{44} = \frac{15}{896} \alpha^2, \quad J_4 = -\frac{885}{224} \alpha^2,$$

$$C_{42} = -\frac{75}{224} \alpha^2, \quad C_{44} = \frac{15}{896} \alpha^2.$$

*Acknowledgments.* The study was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 07-05-00178 and project no. 09-02-00128).

**References:** [1] Anderson, J.D. et al. (1998)

*Science*, 281, 2019-2022, [2] Anderson, J.D. et al.

(2001) *J.Geophys.Res.*, 106 (E12), 32963-32970,

[3] Schubert, G. et al. (2004) Jupiter: The planet, satellites, and magnetosphere, Ed. By F.Bagenal, T.Dowling, W.McKinnon (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge), p.281-306, [4] Zharkov, V.N. (2004) *Astron.Lett.*, 30, 497-50, [5] Zharkov V.N. et al. (1985) *Icarus*, 32-100.